

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,393	11/10/2003	Ron Clark	T5353.A.CON3	4403
20449 KARL R CAN	7590 01/22/2008 NON	3	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1909	PO BOX 1909		SHAFFER, RICHARD R	
SANDY, UT 84	4091		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

W	~
1	

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/705,393	CLARK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Richard R. Shaffer	3733

Intoniou Cummon	10/705,393	CLARK ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard R. Shaffer	3733				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
1) <u>Richard R. Shaffer</u> .	(3) <u>Brett Davis</u> .					
2) <u>Eduardo Robert</u> .	(4) <u>Karl Cannon</u> .					
Date of Interview: <u>15 January 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>42</u> .	•					
Identification of prior art discussed: West, Jr et al (US Pate	ent 5,964,764); Jarvinen (US P	Patent 6,562,071).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. ﴿	g)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ N	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		if an agreement v	was			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attache	copy of the amendments that v	reed would rende vould render the c	r the claims claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT` FERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	r been filed, APPL Y DAYS FROM TI WHICHEVER IS	ICANT IS HIS			
		•				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Application No. 10/705,393

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives, Brett Davis and Karl Cannon, contacted the Office to discuss the previous rejection of the claims and to inquire about possible limitations that could be added in the claims to overcome the prior art of record. It was explained that applicant's device differs visually from West, Jr et al as well as a newly discovered reference to Jarvinen (US Patent 6,562,071) in regard to the attachment member (the grasping hook with a shaft which engages the securing cap). Specifically, how the hook grips an enlarged end of a ligament, how the shaft does not make contact with the bore wall, and the mechanism how the shaft is secured by the cap. It was stated that additional search and consideration would be performed once applicant files an amendment to the claims. It was also stressed that applicant avoid adding new matter when attempting to describe the differences between applicant's invention and the prior art, especially with regard the interaction of the shaft of the grasping element relative to the bore through the bone.